UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

		£	Party.	į	6 1	Æ	ĝ
	K		-	-	1		
Las Contract	1	l	60	ť	U		L.

_								
Case Number 08	-0106	4	Defendant Number 1					
U.S.A. v. Matthew Mart	in		Year of Birth 1963	OH!"				
✓ Indictment □ Int	formation	Investigative ag	gency (FBI, DEA, etc.) ATI					
NOTE: All items are to be	completed. In	formation not appl	icable or unknown shall b	e indicated as NA".				
OFFENSE/VENUE			COMPLEX CASE	₹ 26 5				
	☐ Petty Offe	nse	Are there 8 or more de	fendants in the Ministructural Information?				
☐ Misdemeanor ☐ Min	or Offense	▼ Felony	□ Yes*	MNo T				
b. Date of offense April 15, 2	2008							
c. County in which first offen	ise occurred		Will more than 12 days be required to present government's					
Los Angeles	·		evidence in the case-in-chief?					
d. The crimes charged are allo CHECK ALL THAT APPLY	eged to have be	een committed in:	□ Yes*	√No				
☑ Los Angeles	□ Ventura		*AN ORIGINAL AND 3 (COPIES OF THE NOTICE OF COMPLEX				
☐ Orange	□ Santa Barl	oara	CASE MUST BE FILE	D 2 BUSINESS DAYS BEFORE THE				
☐ Riverside	☐ San Luis (Obispo	ARRAIGNMENT IF EIT	HER YES BOX IS CHECKED.				
☐ San Bernardino	☐ Other							
Citation of offense 18 U.S.C. 922(g)			Superseding Indictm	<u>ient/Information</u>				
			This is the N/A s	superseding charge, i.e. 1st, 2nd.				
DELATED CACE				was previously filed on:				
RELATED CASE Has an indictment or informat	tion involving	this defendant and						
the same transaction or series			Case Number					
filed and dismissed before tria	,	=						
IF YES Case Number			The superseded case: ☐ is still pending before Judge/Magistrate Judge					
11 125 Case I (amout		······································	is still pending before	ore Judge/Magistrate Judge				
Pursuant to Section 11 of Gen	neral Order 224	, criminal cases	ra					
may be related if a previously	filed indictme	nt or information	was previously dist	missed on				
and the present case:			Are there & or more d	lefendants in the superseding case?				
a. arise out of the same consp	iracy, commo	scheme,	☐ Yes*	□ No				
transaction, series of transa	ctions or even	ts; or	□ 103					
b. involve one or more defend	dants in comme	on, and would	Will more than 12 day	ys be required to present government's				
entail substantial duplication	on of labor in p	retrial, trial or	evidence in the case-in	·				
sentencing proceedings if h			□ Yes*	□ No				
Related case(s), if any: MUST	MATCH NOTIO	CE OF RELATED						
CASE N/A		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Was a Notice of Con	nplex Case filed on the Indictment or				
			Information?	•				
			□ Yes	□No				
PREVIOUSLY FILED COM								
A complaint was previously f			*AN ORIGINAL AND 3 (COPIES OF THE NOTICE OF COMPLEX				
Case Number			CASE MUST BE FILED 2 BUSINESS DAYS BEFORE THE					
Charging			ARRAIGNMENT IF EIT	HER YES BOX IS CHECKED.				
The complaint: ☐ is st			Is an interpreter requi	red: □ Yes 🗹 No				
☐ was dismissed on:			IF YES, list language a					

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CASE SUMMARY

OTHER ✓ Male ✓ U.S. Citizen Alias Name(s)	□ Female □ Alien	CUSTODY STATUS Defendant is not in custody: a. Date and time of arrest on complaint: b. Posted bond at complaint level on:			
This defendant is charged in: Only counts: This defendant is designated as "High Risk" per 18 USC 3146(a)(2) by the U.S. Attorney. This defendant is designated as "Special Case" per 18 USC 3166(b)(7). Is defendant a juvenile? Yes No IF YES, should matter be sealed? Yes No The area of substantive law that will be involved in this case includes: financial institution fraud government fraud narcotics offenses narcotics offenses violent crimes/firearms corporate fraud Other:		in the amount of \$ c. PSA supervision?			
		Date transferred to federal custody: This person/proceeding is transferred from another district pursuant to F.R.CrP 20 21 400			
EXCLUDABLE TIME Determinations as to excludable time Date August 29, 2008	e prior to filing indictment	Max Shiner Print Name			